1	
1	CITY OF NORTH CANTON, OHIO
2	
3	
4	IN RE:
5	NORTH CANTON VIRTUAL)
6	COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE) MEETING)
7)) TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
8)
9	
10	
11	
12	Transcript of Proceedings held virtually before
13	North Canton City Council, taken by the undersigned,
14	Shannon Roberts, a Registered Professional Reporter
15	and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, at the
16	offices of North Canton City Hall, 145 North Main
17	Street, North Canton, Ohio, on Monday, the 29th day of
18	June, 2020, at 7:00 p.m.
19	,, ac // 00 p/
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Premier Court Reporting Canton 330.492.4221 Akron 330.928.1418
25	www.premierreporters.com
ı	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	Daniel Jeff Peters, Chairman
4	Daryl Revoldt, Vice-Chairman
5	Mark Cerreta, Member
6	Douglas Foltz, Member
7	Stephanie Werren, Member
8	Dominic Fonte, Member
9	Matthew Stroia, Member
10	Stephan B. Wilder, Mayor
11	Patrick DeOrio, Director of Administration
12	Catherine Farina, Deputy Director of Administration
13	Timothy Fox, Director of Law
14	Jina Alaback, Director of Finance
15	Robert Graham, Engineer
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	MR. REVOLDT: All right. Gentlemen,
2	let's call this meeting to order this
3	evening.
4	MR. YOUNG: All right. Then let me go
5	live.
6	MR. REVOLDT: Open her up. Are we ready
7	to go?
8	MS. WERREN: Yes.
9	MR. YOUNG: One moment.
10	MR. REVOLDT: All right. I'll call
11	the in the absence of
12	MR. YOUNG: There you go. You are live
13	now.
14	MR. REVOLDT: In the absence of the
15	Council President, Vice-President will call
16	the meeting to order for the Council of the
17	Whole, June 29th, 2020.
18	Clerk, would you please call the roll?
19	MR. YOUNG: Member Stroia.
20	MR. STROIA: Here.
21	MR. YOUNG: Member Cerreta.
22	MR. CERRETA: Here.
23	MR. YOUNG: Vice-president Revoldt.
24	MR. REVOLDT: Here.
25	MR. YOUNG: Member Fonte.

1	MR. FONTE: Here.
2	MR. YOUNG: Member Werren.
3	MS. WERREN: Here.
4	MR. YOUNG: Member Foltz.
5	MR. FOLTZ: Here.
6	MR. YOUNG: And President Peters is out.
7	MR. FOLTZ: Motion to excuse
8	MR. REVOLDT: May I have a motion to
9	excuse
10	MR. FOLTZ: Motion to excuse Member
11	President Peters.
12	MS. WERREN: Second, Werren.
13	MR. REVOLDT: Seconded. All in favor,
14	say "aye."
15	MR. FOLTZ: Aye.
16	MR. REVOLDT: Aye.
17	MR. CERRETA: Aye.
18	MR. FONTE: Aye.
19	MS. WERREN: Aye.
20	MR. STROIA: Aye.
21	MR. REVOLDT: Opposed?
22	(No response.)
23	MR. REVOLDT: Very good. Well, hopefully
24	he'll join us in progress. First item
25	tonight is street and alley.

Dominic.

MR. FONTE: Yes. Do we have to read it or anything, or can I just talk about it?

MR. REVOLDT: We are just talking about it tonight.

MS. WERREN: Talk about it.

MR. FONTE: Okay. So this is basically the East -- East -- the East Maple project.

It's about a \$2 million project, which includes the road, the bridge, and everything. So Omnipro, LLC would be the construction management services. Because since it's a government grant and all the money involved, they have got to comply to all the regulations, all USA steel. There is a lot of accounting into this with all the products.

So with all the money that we'll be able to get between the grants and everything, our allocation will be roughly -- you know, if we get the applied grant that we did, municipal road funding, we might have about \$75,000 out of a \$2 million project. This is to help manage the project in an efficient way, and it should kick off July, August, just in the

1	next month or two.
2	And Rob, Pat, feel free to chime in if
3	you have any more details to add.
4	MR. DEORIO: Yeah, I think there is
5	this is Patrick. I think there is some
6	internal discussions that have been going on
7	that some of the procedures surrounding
8	this that we are not all of the same opinion.
9	So I want to we are going to have a
10	have a discussion about that.
11	But, Rob, can you tell us, what is it
12	that these folks do?
13	MR. GRAHAM: Yes, absolutely, Pat.
14	MR. DEORIO: What is it that needs to be
15	done that these folks can do?
16	MR. GRAHAM: Right. What it is, with the
17	federally and Chairman Fonte touched on
18	it. With the federal funds, there is a lot
19	more tracking that needs to be done, above
20	and beyond what our staff can do. You have
21	your construction management, your
22	construction inspection, which is what myself
23	and my department do, but they are going to
24	handle it for this job.
25	There is so much more. There is

materials tracking. And an example is every nut and bolt has to be tracked. We can't have any foreign steel. It has to be American made. They literally have to track down to the nut and bolt level --

MR. FONTE: Wow.

MR. GRAHAM: -- every single bit of material. It's quite the chore. Materials testing, every day the concrete trucks have to have the cylinders made and hard nose and then break them every few days, so you have that ultimate 28-day strength. And we don't have the abilities nor the certifications to do that. They'll handle that for us.

Prevailing wage interviews; this is federal money, so we have the Davis Bacon federal wage, so they have to go out there and interview the contractor and all the subs. Also the DBE subs, the disadvantaged business enterprises, we have got an 8 percent goal. They have to track that, as well as the additional prevailing wage discussions with the disadvantaged business enterprises.

They have to coordinate all ODOT

1	inspections. You know, they show up
2	periodically unannounced, so they have to be
3	prepared for that. And then a ream of
4	federal close-out documentation. There is
5	just a laundry list of tasks that typically
6	it's about 10 percent of construction. And
7	we had estimated it at \$185 I got the
8	first iteration today at \$179,000. So once
9	they put the agenda together, I was right
10	there.
11	MR. FONTE: Right.
12	MR. GRAHAM: So a lot of tasks. And the
13	fed recognizes that, and that helped us, and
14	our grant money can be used to support that.
15	MR. FONTE: Hey, Rob, that was a good
16	explanation. Thank you.
17	MR. GRAHAM: You are welcome.
18	MR. DEORIO: Rob, we have done other
19	federal projects in the City's history; is
20	that correct?
21	MR. GRAHAM: Absolutely. Yeah.
22	MR. DEORIO: And we had to do these types
23	of services on those projects, as well?
24	MR. GRAHAM: On every one. Since I have
25	been here, we did it on South Main Street

1 paving a couple years ago. But it was under \$50,000, so we just handled it, you know, 2 3 through administration with a purchase order. We did it on West Maple, just right out, you 4 know, by Saint Paul's parking lot, by the old 5 engineer's office. Again, that was under 6 7 \$50-. We are literally doing it as we speak 8 on Portage. That's a \$48,000 contract. But since I have been here, this is the 9 10 first one that has exceeded \$50-. 11 MR. DEORIO: And is that because it's 12 based on a certain percentage, roughly of 13 what the -- what the total project cost is? 14 MR. GRAHAM: Exactly. Rule of thumb is 15 roughly 10 percent of -- of your construction 16 cost, and not so much on a paving, because a lot of that work is done in three or four 17 18 days, and, you know, an inspector couldn't 19 spend that much. But for a general 20 construction, it's not a simple repave, yes, 21 the rule of thumb is about 10 percent. 22 MR. DEORIO: And when is this project 23 supposed to start? 24 MR. GRAHAM: Well, we got -- the contract 25 documents were actually executed today. So

1	the next step is to have a pre-construction
2	meeting and find out Central Allied, our low
3	bidder's schedule. I mean, they are
4	basically can start in a week or two, if
5	they wish. I don't they haven't shared.
6	And I have asked a couple times, you know,
7	are we a month out. What's your other
8	workload? We could be started I hope within
9	a month. But I I don't have a date yet.
10	MR. DEORIO: All right. And these folks
11	have to be part of that from the before it
12	starts; is that correct?
13	MR. GRAHAM: They would the next step
14	is once they are on board, they run the
15	the pre-construction meeting and all ODOT's
16	criteria, right down to the project bulletin
17	board and everything else they have to track.
18	So yes, they would be starting when
19	construction starts.
20	MR. DEORIO: All right. And when you
21	sent this legislative request over to me and
22	I signed it and sent it on, did this include
23	an emergency provision?
24	MR. GRAHAM: I was yes, it originally
25	had an emergency, if it was permitted, yes.
:	

I would really like to do that.

MR. DEORIO: So I'm of the understanding, just today, that -- that -- Tim, you have a different take on that. So I'm going to give you the opportunity to jump in here.

MR. FOX: Sure. So late last week, I spoke with Rob about this section, in that this was new, where in our history we have not had this situation where there is an emergency clause proposed. Because the -- the statute states that this type of program would not apply, and it gives a couple provisions.

And one of those states that when the public authority determines in writing that there is an emergency required, and including but not limited to projects requiring multiple contracts as part of a program requiring a large number of design professional firms, it appears that the statute itself may not be used if -- if you are going to tie it to an emergency clause.

MR. REVOLDT: Hey, Tim -- this is

Daryl -- I'm looking at the statute now. And

I guess I'm going to ask Rob this question

If I understand this, Omnipro is not first. 1 engaged in the design work, correct? 2 MR. GRAHAM: Absolutely. A firm called 3 Arcadis did the design work. 4 MR. REVOLDT: Okay. So -- so -- so --5 MR. GRAHAM: And then another firm that 6 the county had on the bridge. But you are 7 correct. 8 So -- so I'm looking 9 MR. REVOLDT: Okav. at the -- and this goes back to my question, 10 Tim, to you, is that the statute makes 11 specific reference to design services and 12 design build. But it apparently does not 13 14 reference contract management. Two very different functions. 15 Is contract management wrapped into 16 17 153.73, and I missed it? Again, I'm looking at the statute and -- and I think it -- I 18 know what it applies to, but I think this is 19 outside the statute. 20 21 MR. FOX: Well, I believe within the -this -- this section from 65 to 73, it -- it 22 opens up some other areas. And I'm trying to 23 rationalize where just the design management 24 for the necessity of important projects would 25

1	be the one that would be limited.
2	MR. GRAHAM: Member Revoldt, what you
3	just said is is true. My 25 years on the
4	private side, you know, I bid not bid, but
5	I was awarded design work and awarded
6	construction management, construction
7	contracts just like this. But if you did the
8	design, your ODOT would not allow you to
9	even consider doing the construction
10	management, construction
11	MR. REVOLDT: Right. They are two
12	separate important functions, check and
13	balance.
14	MR. GRAHAM: Right. Just exactly that
15	reason. Because if you made
16	MR. REVOLDT: But again
17	MR. GRAHAM: a mistake in the
18	design
19	MR. REVOLDT: You know, I think
20	MR. GRAHAM: you could cover
21	MR. REVOLDT: I think I think I
22	think the issue here is what we are
23	looking at is and this goes to Pat's
24	question, the one of timing, and that is, if
25	we pursue this through the normal process

1	without emergency, we can string together
2	three meetings pretty quick, or two other
3	meetings, with votes, but we are still not
4	going to be effective for at least 30 days.
5	Whereas if we are allowed to insert an
6	emergency clause and it's effective
7	immediately, and we can tell the tell
8	Omnipro to get started.
9	MR. FONTE: Well, is there any problem
10	MR. REVOLDT: They can begin to hold
11	their meetings and
12	MR. FONTE: Hey, Daryl
13	MR. REVOLDT: Yeah.
14	MR. FONTE: is there any reason why we
15	can't just pull the trigger? Is it
16	convoluted with does it look like they are
17	they are not involved in the design side
18	of it, right? So
19	MR. REVOLDT: That's correct.
20	MR. FONTE: Then they should not that
21	should not apply to them in this case, right?
22	MR. REVOLDT: That's my that's my
23	question. I don't see anything that that
24	requires construction management to be
25	treated the same as design build. The

1	statute references design build. It does not
2	reference construction management. Ergo
3	we should be able to do this on emergency.
4	Can we? That's my question. I think we can.
5	MR. FONTE: Yeah. Well, if that's what
6	you are saying, and they are not involved in
7	the design, I would think we should be able
8	to move this along pretty timely. Because,
9	like, you want to take advantage of the
10	weather, get everybody busy, get them going.
11	Because they are going to tie it up for a
12	while.
13	MR. REVOLDT: Anybody else have any
14	questions for Mr. Fonte or Mr. Graham?
15	MR. DEORIO: Well, we have another
16	committee of the whole next Monday; is that
17	right?
18	MR. REVOLDT: That's correct.
19	MR. FONTE: That's what I understand.
20	MR. DEORIO: All right. And then and
21	then we have a regular meeting on the 13th.
22	So do we need more time to look at this and
23	come back with this next week with another
24	committee meeting and have a week to kind of
25	sort it out?

1	MR. FONTE: That sounds good to me.
2	MR. REVOLDT: I guess the thing of it is,
3	Pat, I would like to do this, and with all
4	deference to Jeff, who is not here
5	MR. YOUNG: Jeff just joined.
6	MR. REVOLDT: Pardon?
7	THE REPORTER: He's joining, I think.
8	MR. PETERS: I'm on, guys. Can you hear
9	me?
10	MR. REVOLDT: We can, and I am going to
11	surrender the microphone.
12	MR. CERRETA: Well, get him up to date.
13	Get him up to date. Daryl, get him up to
14	date first.
15	MR. REVOLDT: All right. We have got
16	Jeff, item one, we have a question. The
17	statute says that on design build projects,
18	you can't award the contract on emergency.
19	It's specifically prohibited.
20	However, what we are doing here is we are
21	awarding a construction management contract.
22	Very different. So the question is: Can we
23	do this on emergency? I'm kind of arguing
24	that we can. But I think we I think the
25	question, should we take a deeper dive over

1	the next week?
2	MR. DEORIO: Yeah, because, you know, to
3	me to me, it looks like a professional
4	services contract, and whether or not
5	MR. REVOLDT: That's correct.
6	MR. DEORIO: you know, it's
7	interpreted
8	MR. CERRETA: Well, can we put it on and
9	table it? Can we put it on, so we don't
10	waste the time, and then we can table it?
11	MR. FONTE: Yeah, I think we should put
12	it on and
13	MS. WERREN: I agree.
14	MR. FONTE: This is Dominic. I think we
15	should put it on. Tim, you know, that will
16	give you a few days to make sure we are
17	compliant.
18	MS. WERREN: Yeah, no one seems
19	MR. DEORIO: It's not until July 13th.
20	MS. WERREN: No. We are going to have a
21	special next week, right?
22	MR. FONTE: I thought next week we were
23	doing
24	MR. DEORIO: We weren't planning we
25	weren't planning on a special next week. We

1	are planning on a committee of the whole next
2	week.
3	MR. CERRETA: Okay.
4	MR. FONTE: Could we do a special after
5	the meeting of the whole?
6	MR. PETERS: I'm okay with that.
7	MR. CERRETA: You can do whatever you
8	want to do.
9	MR. FONTE: Yeah, why don't we do that?
10	Why don't we do the meeting of the whole,
11	give Tim time to research and make sure we
12	are compliant, and then we have a special
13	meeting right after that, and then we pass it
14	on emergency, if in fact that is if
15	everything it jives correctly.
16	MR. DEORIO: All right. I don't I
17	don't I guess don't see where we would
18	need to meet on July 13th then. What's going
19	to be on the agenda for July 13th?
20	MR. FONTE: Well, can't we just do it
21	next week, knock them knock them both out
22	next week?
23	MR. FOLTZ: Yeah.
24	MR. DEORIO: We have two items on the
25	agenda tonight.

1	MR. CERRETA: Are we going to vote on it
2	next week?
3	MR. REVOLDT: Yeah.
4	MR. FOLTZ: Yeah.
5	MR. REVOLDT: Yeah, you may need the 13th
6	for a third and final vote, Pat, if
7	MR. CERRETA: Yeah. If we need it, we'll
8	have it. And we'll come back any time; you
9	know that.
10	MR. FONTE: Yeah, we are flexible. We
11	can Zoom in any time.
12	MR. PETERS: All right. That's that's
13	what we'll do then. Pat, refer to Tim, let
14	him do some research. But if we have to add
15	another meeting, that's what we'll do.
16	MR. FONTE: Thank you, gentlemen, ladies.
17	MR. DEORIO: Okay.
18	MR. PETERS: Okay. Was that the only
19	question on one then?
20	MR. REVOLDT: Yes.
21	MS. WERREN: Yep.
22	MR. PETERS: All right. We are going to
23	move forward on that one. Next up, finance
24	and property, Chairwoman Werren.
25	MS. WERREN: Yes, these are just

1	supplemental appropriations. We have a
2	capital improvement for land acquisition,
3	thereabouts \$962,000, and then the debt issue
4	clause, about \$13,000, for a total of
5	\$975,000. Jina, any comments or
6	MS. ALABACK: These will be the remaining
7	part of the I'm sorry, the the tax
8	tax anticipation
9	MR. DEORIO: K-Mart.
10	MS. ALABACK: Yeah, the K it's the
11	K-Mart property. And we originally did
12	\$3.425 million. This is the remainder,
13	\$975,000, that we're selling on the market to
14	close on July 8th.
15	MS. WERREN: Jina, we are keeping you
16	busy.
17	MS. ALABACK: Indeed.
18	MS. WERREN: Any other questions?
19	MR. PETERS: All right. On emergency, as
20	well?
21	MS. WERREN: Yep.
22	MR. PETERS: Okay.
23	MR. DEORIO: Hey, Jeff.
24	MR. PETERS: Yes, Pat.
25	MR. DEORIO: Since we are it looks

1	like we are done with our official agenda,
2	and before you go on to maybe number three,
3	I'd like to exercise, if possible, the
4	privilege that that Council has granted to
5	me in the past to make a committee report on
6	a committee of the whole night or make a
7	report on a committee of the whole night.
8	MR. FOLTZ: I'll motion for the
9	Administrator to do that.
10	MS. WERREN: I'll second, Werren.
11	MR. REVOLDT: Revoldt seconds.
12	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
13	MR. REVOLDT: Aye.
14	MR. PETERS: Aye.
15	MR. FOLTZ: Aye.
16	MR. CERRETA: Aye.
17	MS. WERREN: Aye.
18	MR. STROIA: Aye.
19	MR. FONTE: Aye.
20	MR. DEORIO: Thank you.
21	MR. PETERS: All right. Motion carries.
22	Patrick, the floor is yours.
23	MR. DEORIO: Yeah. So I want to take a
24	few minutes to bring everyone up to speed,
25	not just here at the dais, but anybody that

might be listening in, concerning the -- the park expansion project, Bitzer Park, and all the discussion around trees at -- at Bitzer.

So I certainly appreciate everybody in the community that is -- that is so interested in the outcome here. We certainly welcome community engagement in all the issues that we do. And I want to -- we want to assure, not just the Council -- and I know you know this, but assure the community at large that these decisions that we have made or trying to make, you know, are not something we have entered into willy-nilly at a whim. These are things that have gone through great thought process.

So I want to let you know what I have done since our last meeting. And I should say that, you know, although the -- the method to my process in moving forward, you know, may not have been fully articulated by myself. I certainly take ownership of that and am responsible. I apologize to anyone if I did not make this clear.

But, you know, what I have found is that in a number of projects, you know, one of the

things that -- that makes it difficult to move forward is, you know, the process of building consensus. That doesn't mean we don't want to build consensus. We certainly do. But there are certain timetables involved in this project that I -- my fear was, while we were going to be building a consensus around, you know, trying to the save as many trees as possible, that we wouldn't be able to get our bid documents out.

And the grants that we had received from the state have a certain timeline on them.

And if we don't spend the monies that we were granted by a certain timeline, then the monies are forfeited, and they stay in Columbus. And it greatly affects our ability to get future monies from them.

You can imagine all the requests that state senators and state legislators get for funding and they have to say no to. And when a community has funds and sits on the funds and never uses the funds, that puts them in a very difficult spot, and it puts us in a difficult spot trying to get funds from them

in the future, when we say we need them and then we don't spend them.

So we have gotten this grant from the state, \$750,000, to move forward. And through a whole variety of things, initially around trying to build consensus on this project, we have had difficulty moving forward. So we have -- we have had different architects involved that we were not happy with the -- the progress that was being made. We reorganized and did an RFQ, and ended up with where we are at today, and finally developing a plan and moving it forward.

And so in getting the bid documents prepared, I knew that when it came to decisions on the trees, that was going to be made by, you know, the City in its deliberative process that we go through. It wasn't going to be part of the contract that is going to be awarded for this project. So for that purpose, I felt that it was okay to get that out for bid. Since that's not going to be a part of what we are doing, let's figure out what we can do regarding the trees.

So to that effect, we have spent a number of meetings in the park with members of Council, going over tree by tree and where we are. So last Friday then, as an additional step, I did -- did invite two other individuals outside of City government, who have a lot of dealings with trees, a lot of expertise on trees, who sit on tree commissions and could just give us an outside view of what they are looking at.

And, you know, perhaps because I sit across from Bitzer Park and look out my office window, and have for the last three years, I can see the park and see the trees, I have a bit more view of what the true nature of the trees are there, versus some that may have never spent any time in the park, but still want to preserve our trees. And we certainly applaud that effort.

So when we did that on Friday afternoon, myself, these outside individuals, Brian Hill, Catherine Farina, we went tree by tree and allowed them to tell us, you know, what they thought. And then today I met with the -- our park and rec chairman, Doug Foltz

over there as well, to review all of this 1 2 together. And so we have come to a better 3 understanding of where we are with this. 4 And, Doug, do you want to jump in at this 5 point, or do you want me to keep talking? 6 No. Sure, I'll jump in. 7 MR. FOLTZ: think, you know, really this is the way 8 government should work. You know, our 9 residents have spoken. They wanted us to 10 take another look at this -- or a full look 11 at this, see what we could do to -- to 12 protect some of the mature trees. 13 Obviously, when you do any capital 14 project -- and it's a wonderful capital 15 project, Bitzer. I think everybody is 100 16 percent behind what we are going to do as far 17 as the infrastructure. It's a matter of what 18 we can do to save some of these mature trees. 19 So I applaud the residents for bringing 20 it to our attention, signing petitions, being 21 22 very sensitive to keeping mature trees in our community. I mean, that's -- that's --23 that's a must when you can do it. 24 25 So Pat, Cathy, and I looked at these

trees. I think we might modify some of the construction there, but I think the -- the main reason we were doing this is to see what we could save and what had to go. We do have a number of distressed trees there.

But I think all said and done, Pat -- and I just wrote some quick notes -- I think we are looking to save three oak trees on East Maple, one oak tree on South Main, a buckeye tree, two locust trees, and at least one maple. So I do not remember exactly; out of 18 or 19 hardwood trees -- not decorative trees, not crabapples, not pine trees -- some of those -- this pines all have to go. They are just distressed, and they -- you know, they are not long, as far as their tree life is concerned.

We are looking to save eight or nine hardwood trees, and I think that's a doable project. I think we saved some tree canopy. We also removed some distressed trees that will give some of our more mature trees a root structure to expand into and to have a better chance to survive.

So I think off the cuff, it was a very

1	productive meeting. I think our residents
2	should be happy that government is working
3	toward their interest and just for the
4	interest of just saving mature trees overall.
5	Obviously, we can't save every one of them.
6	You can see some of them are distressed that
7	need to be taken down. But I think if we can
8	save eight or nine I think, Pat, that's
9	kind of where we are at I'm very pleased
10	with the direction of where we are going, and
11	I think the residents will be too.
12	MR. DEORIO: Yeah, I think the number was
13	nine. So you said eight or nine. I believe
14	it was nine
15	MR. FOLTZ: Okay.
16	MR. DEORIO: when it that we would
17	save. And when Doug says "distressed," you
18	know, breaking it down into maybe maybe
19	more laymen's terms, some of them you
20	know, a great deal of them are more than just
21	distressed. They are they have got
22	interior rot.
23	MR. FOLTZ: Uh-huh.
24	MR. DEORIO: They have some are
25	showing that perhaps you know, one of

them -- the pin oaks over on -- on Maple that is -- you know, its -- its end stages was a result of an automobile accident --

MR. FOLTZ: Uh-huh.

MR. DEORIO: -- that one of the experts had indicated, and, you know, caused this tree distress that would have shortened its lifespan normally.

And to Doug's point, yes, we will modify the project a bit to -- to make this work to save those nine trees. And I'm -- appreciate that, Doug, you have taken a real leadership role. Although we knew that he would. He's that kind of guy.

But if anybody has any questions there.

I know, Daryl, you were over. Mark, we went over. If anybody else wants to chime in.

Otherwise, you know, I just wanted to update everybody. We think that we have what we need to move forward. We'll save nine of the hardwood trees. We will re-modify our plan.

We'll work with our architect to come up with that. But the bids will still be due here this week, and we will execute that change too.

MR. FONTE: Pat, it's Dom. 1 2 MS. WERREN: Pat -- oh. MR. FONTE: Go ahead, Steph. 3 4 MS. WERREN: No. I'm probably just going to say the same thing as you. But I'm 5 6 thrilled you guys were willing to listen and 7 kind of go look at those. I had gone up on my own, and I wasn't as concerned with the 8 9 full trees, but those hardwoods, they are a big deal. And they do make the center really 10 11 nice. So thank you for doing that. 12 And, Doug, I appreciate your effort. have been doing parks for a long time. 13 And I love what you are doing in the City of 14 Canton, and I just think it shows the 15 flexibility. And, you know, thanks for the 16 people for reaching out to us. 17 MR FONTE: Yeah. Hey, Pat and the Mayor 18 and everybody involved, so as a layman, you 19 20 know, we always want to save the trees, you 21 know, as much as we can. Because it takes 25 22 years to get them looking like that, and it 23 takes forever to get them -- you know, I don't have as much -- I'm at the fourth 24 25 I don't have much time to quarter, man.

wait. So I want to see them trees stay.

So I think by doing the extra effort like that, it just shows that we are not willy-nilly going about this plan. And it makes sense now that you explained it the way you do. Now I understand. We don't want to lose the money that was granted to us if we don't act quickly on that. So -- but the bottom line is we are going to save nine trees that weren't going to be saved initially. So that's nine mature trees. And I would think hopefully the citizens, the 385 people that signed the petition, will appreciate that, and especially Joe Blubaugh, who's leading up -- because he is a lover of trees for sure. Thanks again.

MR. PETERS: Yeah, Patrick -- Peters
here -- thank you so much for putting the
effort in to save some of those mature trees.
I do have a question, though. The comment
was made that when we redo the brick walkway
and put the concrete down and lay the brick,
or the brick inlay after that, there was talk
that it could damage some of the roots. Have
we addressed that issue?

MR. DEORIO: Yeah, thank you for that question. So let's just talk for a few minutes just about the sidewalks on Maple and Main. So on Main -- on Maple Street, the sidewalk there is 8 foot, 6 inches wide. And that is the width that we have been trying to obtain in our -- our redo, our master plan, and some of the more modern streetscape designs that we have done up further north, and what we have done around City Hall and over in front of Main Street Grille.

You know, we are trying to make this a more walkable community. And so that -- that part was done years ago. Now, the bricks that were laid were laid just on the -- on the soil or either maybe, you know, a thin coat of limestone, pressed limestone, but not much. And that's why the roots have up-ended some of the bricks.

But that isn't really the case over on Maple. So those trees, planted in their original condition, anticipated a wide sidewalk there. And the roots, from what we can tell, don't seem to be -- to be of a point where if we put the -- the cement down

with the brick inlay, that we are going to have a problem on the -- the Maple side of that.

Now, on the back side of Maple, there is an embankment. And, you know, at the two ends of Maple, the embankment tapers down to eventually ground level, and there is a pin oak at each end of that that we think will be okay. The pin oaks that are in the middle that have issues that have been marked for -- those were the couple that were marked for removal. The embankment on the back side is fairly high.

And when this was regraded, the park was regraded for -- to make it proper drainage and -- and seeding and all the things that we are doing, it might have interfered with the roots on the back side of those trees anyway. On the north side, where it goes into the sidewalk, we don't think there is a problem.

Now, when we switch over to Main Street, though, now is when we start dealing with some issues. So the sidewalk on Main Street is only 5 feet wide; 60 inches. It's not as wide as the one, by a long shot. And it

brings up a couple -- a couple things. As

far as the stress that the four pin oaks on

that side have endured, the three most

northern ones, if you looked at them from

across the street, you'd see that they are

smaller, stunted, thinner, narrower trunks.

And as you get -- work your way from south to

north, away from the Y, the -- they -- there

is very little left, honestly, for those.

Why is that? Why -- why is -- why are those three so different from the fourth one, the one that is closest to the Y? Well, you know, those trees were all planted at the same time. They should all kind of look the same. Well, the difference is that we did -- you know, a number of, you know, years back, you know, probably decades, two decades back, you know, Main Street was widened. It was five lanes. It didn't always used to be five lanes. And those trees were farther from the street.

So we -- we believe that when the -- you know, the people that were looking at these trees believed that when Main Street was widened, they encountered the root system of

those trees, and the three most northern ones, more than the one that's by the -- by the Y. And that has stunted their growth and has affected them long-term.

And maybe, you know, 20 years ago didn't think that it would, but, clearly, you know, 20 years later, sure enough. When we did the streetscaping brick project, in putting those down, again, they would have encountered roots, and they may have damaged those roots in the process.

So the -- the largest of those pin oaks, which is the one by the Y, is in the best condition of those four. It's worth saving. It's one of the ones that Doug was talking about. And its roots are massively exposed right on the edge of that sidewalk. So expanding the sidewalk to make it 8 feet in front of that tree will be probably not possible. So we'll have to narrow it a bit, so that we don't disturb any more of the root system there.

One of the things that's contributing to the narrowness of the passage in front of it is that there is a streetlight right in front

of that tree. And, in fact, there are two streetlights on that section. And in both instances, you can see where people have walked off of the bricks onto the grass and have worn that out completely by both streetlights, because it's too narrow.

The bricks in front of the streetlights narrow to about 30 inches, or 3 feet. And it really makes it, based on our experience with some issues on Everhard last year, not ADA compliant. Getting a wheelchair around those light posts and staying on the bricks, even if they were level there, would be a problem. And evidence is already that they are walking -- they are walking in the grass anyway.

So we are going to propose relocating that streetlight away from that tree, to make sure that it's -- if we can't -- you know, we can't -- we know we can't go the full 8 feet there. But if we can go 6 feet, and there is no street light in the way, that should be more than ample opportunity to pass there without damaging that tree further.

Does that help, Jeff?

1 MR. PETERS: Yeah. Those were a few of 2 the questions that I was getting on social 3 media. 4 MR. FOLTZ: Right. 5 MR. PETERS: And I think it was the case 6 for all. So I appreciate that detail -- that 7 detailed explanation. Doug, did you have 8 something? 9 MR. FOLTZ: Yeah, President Peters, one 10 more comment. I appreciate everybody coming 11 together, spending time on it. It's 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more comment. I appreciate everybody coming together, spending time on it. It's important to -- this is the way government should work. You know, our residents weighed in. And I think -- and the -- and another important thing to consider here is that when you have any park development plan or concept, we weren't creating this with nothing there.

I mean, we had these mature trees. We had over probably 25 trees there altogether, maybe even a little bit more than that; 30 when you look at the pines and some of the crab trees. We have a beautiful veterans memorial there. We have a history of these large mature trees there. And, you know, we

are also going to keep those. We keep nine 1 mature trees. 2 We are also winning by planting new 3 They'll have an extended 50-, 75-year 4 life after these unfortunately are going 5 to -- are going to be gone at some point. 6 that's a great development. When you plant 7 all trees together, and -- and there is 8 something that distresses the trees, whether 9 10 a tree disease, storm damage or whatever, just age, you have to rebuild. Now we have 11 12 an opportunity to keep the mature trees and 13 add some newer trees that will grow up under the large tree canopies, to give us another 14 50 years of just great tree planning. 15 This is the way we should plan things. 16 You know, don't throw everything out, save 17 what you can, and look to the future. And so 18 we are doing all of the above, and that's 19 20 what we should do for the community. MR. PETERS: Okay. Doug, very good. 21 Anybody else? 22 MR. DEORIO: Thank you, Council, for 23 giving us this opportunity. 24 MR. FONTE: Thank you. 25

1	MR. PETERS: Thank you, Patrick. Seeing
2	no further regarding
3	THE REPORTER: Jeff, I can't hear you.
4	MR. PETERS: Can you hear me now?
5	THE REPORTER: Yeah, that's better.
6	MR. PETERS: I'm sorry. I'm on my phone.
7	At this time, Council is going to move into
8	executive session for the purpose of
9	discussing with the Law Director an ongoing
10	legal dispute.
11	Member Cerreta, you are going to abstain
12	from this?
13	MR. CERRETA: Correct.
14	MR. PETERS: At this time, can I have a
15	roll call vote a motion and a second and a
16	roll call vote to enter into executive
17	session?
18	MR. REVOLDT: Revoldt moves for executive
19	session to discuss a pending legal matter.
20	MR. STROIA: Second, Stroia.
21	MR. PETERS: Ben, roll call, please.
22	MR. YOUNG: Member Foltz, Doug Foltz.
23	MR. FOLTZ: Yes.
24	MR. YOUNG: Member Revoldt.
25	MR. REVOLDT: Yes.

1	MR. YOUNG: President Peters.
2	MR. PETERS: Yes.
3	MR. YOUNG: Member Werren.
4	MS. WERREN: Yes.
5	MR. YOUNG: Member Stroia.
6	MR. STROIA: Yes.
7	MR. YOUNG: Member Fonte.
8	MR. FONTE: Yes.
9	MR. YOUNG: And Member Cerreta.
10	MR. CERRETA: Abstain.
11	MR. YOUNG: One abstention.
12	MR. PETERS: Thank you very much. Motion
13	approved. Ben, I'm on a phone here, so can
14	you put me into the breakout room?
15	MR. YOUNG: Yes, it should still let you
16	into the breakout room.
17	MR. PETERS: All right.
18	MR. YOUNG: I am going to open all the
19	rooms. For everyone watching on live stream,
20	the stream is going to pause until we return.
21	(Whereupon, Council enters executive
22	session.)
23	MR. REVOLDT: Okay. This is Revoldt. I
24	move we adjourn the executive session after
25	taking no action.

1	MR. FOLTZ: Second by Foltz.
2	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
3	MR. FOLTZ: Aye.
4	MR. PETERS: Aye.
5	MR. CERRETA: Aye.
6	MR. REVOLDT: Aye.
7	MR. STROIA: Aye.
8	MR. FONTE: Aye.
9	MS. WERREN: Aye.
10	MR. PETERS: Opposed?
11	(No response.)
12	MR. PETERS: Motion carries. And that
13	concludes the agenda. Hello?
14	MR. FOLTZ: We are still here.
15	MR. PETERS: That concludes the agenda.
16	At this time, I'll entertain a motion to
17	adjourn.
18	MR. REVOLDT: Revoldt moves.
19	MS. WERREN: Second, Werren.
20	MR. PETERS: All in favor, say "aye."
21	MR. FOLTZ: Aye.
22	MR. PETERS: Aye.
23	MR. REVOLDT: Aye.
24	MR. CERRETA: Aye.
25	MR. FONTE: Aye.

1	
1	MR STROIA: Aye.
2	MS. WERREN: Aye.
3	MR. PETERS: Opposed?
4	(No response.)
5	MR. PETERS: All right. Motion is
6	adjourned. Okay. Everyone have a good 4th.
7	MS. WERREN: Thank you. Happy 4th.
8	
9	(This proceeding concluded at 7:55 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Benjamin R. Young Clerk of Council
24	
25	Clerk of Council

1 CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF OHIO, 3 STARK COUNTY. I, Shannon Roberts, a Registered Professional 4 Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of 5 Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the within testimony so given was by me 6 reduced to Stenotype, afterwards prepared and produced by means of Computer-Aided Transcription, and that the 7 foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the testimony so given. 8 I further certify that this proceeding was taken Ģ at the time and place in the foregoing caption specified. 10 I further certify that I am not a relative of, 11 employee of or attorney for any party or counsel, or otherwise financially interested in this action. 12 I do further certify that I am not, nor is the court reporting firm with which I am affiliated, under 13 a contract as defined in Civil Rule 28(D). 14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Canton, Ohio, this 15 2nd day of July, 2020. 16 Sleannon Kellerts 17 Shannon Roberts, Notary Public 18 My commission expires February 2, 2023 19 20 21 22 23 24 25